Sender	Meeting Date	Comment
Maryellen Cronin	9/8/2023	 Please do not approve the dispensary at 5 Washington Street Mount Holly. Only 5ft separate my apartment building from the proposed dispensary. There is no parking of any kind and this location is not good for a dispensary. I am not against cannabis, I am just against this location. A cannabis retail place was already approved in our town and it is walking distance from this one. This will seriously impact the quality of our lives. There are more than 8 apartments right next to this location. Please deny 5 Washington Street Mount Holly a license or come to the site and see for yourself that it is not a good location. Fire and Oak LLC is the applicant. Thank you!
Luke Seigel	9/8/2023	Please give medical patients back our right to cultivate our medicine in our homes and gardens. It is shameful what's going on in this state. It's a blatant money grab. Pay to play. And we're paying attention. One hand washes the other in NJ. I don't know why we ever expected this to be different. Even the "gifting" shops seem to operate with the help of police. If you have money you can "gift" cannabis for profit but NJ patients still cannot access organic medicine or medicine that treats our symptoms. Most "budtenders" are uninformed and have no clue what fertilizers are inside the medicine they are pushing. Imagine if your pharmacist wasn't sure what was in your medicine! Help us by giving us back our right to grow (& know) what we are consuming is healthy and going to help us. Why is NJ so far behind the rest of tri state? How come medical patients are continuously overlooked and treated secondary to rec consumers? Where is the compassion? I implore you to help NJ medical patients. We aren't the ones looking to get rich by growing the fastest plants with the cheapest fertilizers for our profit margins. Many of us have had our lives saved by cannabis and require our medicine to stay the course.
Chereese Tulino	9/8/2023	Priority applications, I have contacted NJCRC, NJ technical help line and my senator to assist me since August 8. My application is complete, however, there is a technical issue when I attempt to submit. I have not received any response from the multiple offices I have reached out to.

Natalia Dia 511	0/0/2022	These and an example in a set of the set of the
Natalie Diaz, Esq.	9/8/2023	There are apparent inconsistencies in the
		Commission's enforcement of the 15-day deadline
		before a conditional expiration to submit an
		extension request. This raises transparency and
		fairness questions in the regulatory process and
		questions regarding who holds discretion to enforce.
		Consistent deadline application by regulatory bodies
		is crucial for public trust and industry fairness. I urge
		the Commission to promptly review and address
		these issues, including revising the notice of
		conditional expiration to prevent confusion, as it
		only pertains to annual renewals.
Gaetano Lardieri	9/8/2023	Cannabis Regulatory Commission
		Clinical Registrant License
		Comments submitted by Gaetano Lardieri
		20Aug2023
		Good morning CRC Chair, Commissioners and
		distinguished guests.
		C C
		Gaetano Lardieri from Newark NJ.
		Cannabis/Psychedelics advocate and Researcher.
		My overall general comments provided for your
		consideration today are formulated after a complete
		and careful review of the recent
		document/proposed amendment/New Rules
		(Clinical Registrant License (N.J.A.C. 17:30A-1.2 and
		7.1, N.J.A.C 17:30A-7.12 and 7A) submitted for
		public comment by the CRC on 07Aug2023 filed
		11Jul2023.
		I am here to strongly advocate for the establishment
		of a groundbreaking department within the existing
		framework of the Cannabis Regulatory Commission
		(CRC).
		A department that is poised to be the vanguard in
		the realm of cannabis clinical research and
		development.
		We propose the creation of the Department of
		Cannabis Clinical Research, Development and
		Management (CCRDM).
		A department that will serve as a pivotal hub and
		nexus for cannabis research in the state of New
		Jersey.
		Why, you might ask, do we need a department
		dedicated solely to cannabis clinical research within
		the CRC?
		The answer lies in the complexity and expertise
		required to navigate the uncharted waters of clinical
		research, especially when it involves substances
		classified by the Federal Government as Schedule I

Γ	
	drugs - (perhaps one day Schedule III according to the latest news from HHS).
	Stay tuned for how that very interesting recent
	development rolls out.
	First and foremost, the Department of Cannabis
	Clinical Research, Development and Management
	(CCRDM) would require a Chair level position
	appointed by Governor Murphy.
	The Chair would report directly to the Governor of
	New Jersey and also report to the public on a
	scheduled basis through the CRC on the
	departments progress and research status.
	This Chair would act and be held to the same
	standards and level as a medical director in an
	organization conducting clinical trial research with
	human subjects.
	This department would have dedicated specialized
	research staff and its own budget.
	The Chair of this newly created department should
	hold either an MD or a PhD degree. Furthermore,
	the Chair would be required to have extensive
	expertise in the design, implementation, and
	management of clinical trials involving Schedule I
	drugs and clinical research study participants.
	One doesn't have to look far to fulfill this Chair
	position as the talent pool exists right here in the
	state of New Jersey or no further than our neighbor
	to the West (PA) or the East (NY).
	Some of the Chairs initial responsibilities would be
	to establish, staff, and eventually manage the entire
	department.
	This individual will be the linchpin, steering the ship
	of innovation and progress in cannabis clinical
	research.
	One might wonder, why should we create a separate
	department and appoint a Chair at the level of a
	medical director within the CRC?
	The answer is clear: the complexities associated with
	cannabis clinical research demand specialized
	attention.
	Cannabis, despite its growing acceptance, remains a
	Schedule I substance at the federal level, a
	classification that comes with a myriad of regulatory
	and scientific challenges.
	To conduct meaningful research that unlocks the
	therapeutic potential of cannabis, we need a
	department that can navigate these regulatory and
	scientific complexities efficiently and effectively with

	overall patient safety and research integrity at the forefront. This department must stand independently within the CRC, reporting directly to the Governor of New Jersey, ensuring that research initiatives are free from unnecessary bureaucratic hurdles and comply with the highest standards of research that involve human subjects. Furthermore, this new department should have its own budget, funded through cannabis taxes and, potentially, opioid settlement funds. Such financial independence is crucial to foster innovation and scientific rigor in can

Gaetano Lardieri	0/0/2002	Such financial independence is crucial to factor
	9/8/2023	Such financial independence is crucial to foster
		innovation and scientific rigor in cannabis clinical
		research. It will allow the Chair and the department
		to attract top talent, acquire cutting-edge
		technology, and ensure necessary resources to
		pursue pioneering research endeavors.
		One of the pivotal responsibilities of the Chair would
		be to establish a Central Institutional Review Board
		(IRB) within this newly formed department.
		This Central IRB would serve as a hub for approving,
		coordinating, funding, and monitoring clinical
		research initiatives related to cannabis within the
		purview of the CRC or conducted outside the CRC at
		institutions that hold a CRC state issued cannabis
		research license.
		This Central IRB would be a beacon of transparency,
		rigor, and accountability for all cannabis clinical
		research approved and under the supervision of the
		newly created department.
		It would streamline the approval process, ensuring
		that research is conducted ethically, efficiently, and
		in compliance with all regulations.
		It shall have the responsibility of reviewing,
		approving and overseeing of the Clinical Registrant
		Licenses, contracts and partnerships.
		The department should serve as a liaison between
		the clinical registrant license holders, the public and
		the state.
		The department would ensure and oversee the
		integrity of all research collaborations and contracts.
		In conclusion, I implore you to consider the
		establishment of this new department, the
		Department of Cannabis Clinical Research,
		Development and Management (CCRDM) within the
		CRC as a visionary step toward harnessing the full
		potential of cannabis for the betterment of patients,
		the state of New Jersey and society in general.
		This department, led by a Chair of the highest
		caliber, will not only navigate the complexities of
		cannabis research but will also position New Jersey
		at the forefront of medical innovation and scientific
		discovery for cannabis research.
		Let us seize this opportunity to invest in the future,
		push the boundaries of knowledge, and improve the
		lives of patients, and our citizens through a
		pioneering vison for cannabis research in the state
		of new Jersey.
		In follow up I will be submitting further detailed
	l	

	comments on specifics of the Medical Cannabis Rules document recently issued by the CRC. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Michael Edelstein	9/8/2023	The New Jersey State Policy Lab, based out of
	57072025	Rutgers University's Eagleton Institute, conducted a poll of New Jerseyans in the Summer of 2022 that they released in March of this year. They asked respondents about their opinions regarding cannabis legalization and among other questions asked respondents if they agree that "It should be legal for an adult to grow cannabis for personal use". The results from this poll are overwhelming and deeply concurrent with real world data.
		No matter how respondents were separated into cohorts, whether by political affiliation, if children are at home, if they currently use cannabis, if they are homeowners or renters, education level, income level, race, gender, ageEVERY SINGLE COHORT supports the legalization of home-grow either strongly or somewhat. That includes Republicans (54.2% support), those over the age of 65 (50% support while 44.5% oppose, others unsure/no response), Asians (56.2% support), and those with a High School diploma or less education (56.5% support). While these groups represent the lowest levels of support among all groups, even they ALL support with a majority of at least 50% that "It should be legal for an adult to grow cannabis for personal use".
		Overall, 63% of respondents agree with home-grow legalization. 67% agree with cannabis legalization. There is a very clear message coming from the residents of the State of New Jersey. New Jerseyans overwhelmingly support the legalization of home- grown cannabis.
		Michigan legalized homegrow before retail recreational cannabis became legal. In the 3 short years since legalization, Michigan has gone from raising \$45.7M in tax revenue in 2020 to \$325M in 2022. Regardless of Michigan's higher tax rate and ~12% larger population, the state's tax revenue grew by over 700% in 2 years! All the while, homegrow of up to 12 plants was legal in the State of Michigan. In fact, it was legal for a full year before retail cannabis became available.
		Home-grow does not prevent the success of the cannabis retail market and it does not significantly

h
hamper state tax revenues from cannabis sales.
Having legal cannabis without homegrow is untenable and, frankly, absurd. It does not stand to reason and it flies in the face of the social equity that legalization sought to ensure. Growing even 1 oz of cannabis flower is considered a 3rd degree crime in New Jersey, punishable by up to 5 years in jail and a \$25,000 fine. The realities are more akin to drug court, small fines, seizure of private property, potential loss of employment, custody of childrenthe list goes on. All for growing a plant that I can buy at the store down the street. Is this fair? Is this socially equitable? Is this the will of the people of New Jersey?
What are we doing? All of this to hypothetically protect the interests of Multi-State Operating cannabis corporations that sell pesticide treated cannabis at black market prices. We know how to help the cannabis market. More licenses, more cultivators, more retailers, lower prices.
The possible reclassification of cannabis to a Schedule III drug will eliminate the tax burden of Section 280e on cannabis-related businesses will be an enormous boon to the industry as a whole.
New York included a provision in their cannabis legalization act that home-grow will become legal within 18 months of the first retail sale. That sale occurred in New York in December 2022, meaning July 2024 will be the 18 month mark.
September 2023 marks the 18th month since the first retail sale of cannabis in NJ, which occurred in April 2022.
It is time to respect the will of the people of New Jersey. It is time to align ourselves with the 19 other States that allow for recreational homegrow. It is time to allow medical patients who have been told since 2010 that medical homegrow will be reconsidered in the future.
There is no sense in which we can continue to illegalize recreational and medical homegrow in the State of New Jersey.